

Tatyana Evgenievna Drevaleva
3015 Clement St., Apt. 204,
San Francisco, CA, 94121
415-954-2116, tdrevaleva@gmail.com
Plaintiff in Pro Per

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR NORTHERN CALIFORNIA

)	Case No. 3:18-cv-03748-JCS
)	
Tatyana E. Drevaleva)	
)	
<i>Plaintiff,</i>)	Notice of Motion; First Motion for a
)	
vs.)	Summary Judgment by the Court,
)	
)	the F.R.C.P. Rule 56(f);
)	
Mr. Denis Richard McDonough in his)	Memorandum of Points and Authorities;
capacity as a Secretary of the U.S.)	
Department of Veterans Affairs)	Declaration; Proposed Order.
)	
810 Vermont Avenue, NW,)	
Washington, D.C. 20420)	
)	Date: August 13, 2021
<i>Defendant</i>)	
)	Time: 09:30 AM
Facility:)	
)	Location: Courtroom F – 15th Floor
New Mexico VA Healthcare System)	
1501 San Pedro Drive, S.E.)	450 Golden Gate Avenue,
Albuquerque, NM, 87108)	
)	San Francisco, CA 94102
)	
)	Judge: The Hon. Chief Magistrate
)	
)	Judge Joseph C. Spero
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	

1 TO THE HONORABLE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND COUNSEL ON RECORD.

2 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on 08/13/2021 at 09:30 AM or as soon thereafter as
3 the matter may be heard in Courtroom F at the 15th Floor of the District Court for Northern
4 California located at 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102, Plaintiff Pro Se
5 Tatyana Drevalova will move the Court first time for a Summary Judgment by the Court
6 pursuant to the F.R.C.P. Rule 56(f.)

7 Said First Motion for a Summary Judgment by the Court shall be based on this Notice,
8 the attached First Motion for a Summary Judgment by the Court pursuant to the F.R.C.P. Rule
9 56(f), the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the attached Declaration, the
10 pleadings and papers on file, and on such additional matters as may be presented to the Court
11 prior to or at the hearing.

12
13
14 Dated: July 08, 2021

Signed: Tatyana Drevalova



MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Statement of Facts.

On May 04, 2021, the Hon. Judge Joseph C. Spero issued a “NOTICE REGARDING SUMMARY JUDGEMENT MOTIONS” where the Judge wrote, page 1, lines 25-26, “Defendant(s) in this case may file a motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.”

On June 04, 2021, Assistant U.S. Attorney Ms. Adrienne Zack filed a frivolous and unsworn Answer to my Original June 25, 2018 Complaint No. 3:18-cv-03748-JCS. This Answer was filed in violation of 38 CFR § 14.514(a) because there was no evidence that Secretary of the United States Department of Veterans Affairs:

- 1) ever forwarded my Original June 25, 2018 Complaint No. 3:18-cv-03748-JCS to the Office of General Counsel
- 2) ever obtained the material facts of the case
- 3) ever coordinated with the Regional Counsel, and
- 4) ever coordinated with the U.S. Department of Justice regarding the representation of both Defendants the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs and Secretary of the U.D. Department of Veterans Affairs in the lawsuit No. 3:18-cv-03748-JCS.

Also, the June 04, 2021 Answer violated 28 U.S.C. § 1746 and the F.R.C.P. Rule 11 (b.)

Up to today, I have filed three Motions to Strike this Answer in its entirety or partially. Also, in my July 05, 2021 Motion to Disqualify the Opposing Counsel from representing Federal Defendants in the lawsuit No. 3:21-cv-00500-JCS, I asked the District Court to permanently disqualify Assistant U.S. Attorney Ms. Adrienne Zack from representing Federal Defendants in the lawsuit No. 3:18-cv-03748-JCS. The hearing date of this Motion is scheduled on August 13, 2021.

Currently, Defendants’ Motion to Summary Judgment in the lawsuit No. 3:18-cv-03748-JCS should be filed not later than on August 13, 2021. However, if the Court grants my Motion

1 to Disqualify Ms. Zack from representing Federal Defendants in both lawsuits No. 3:21-cv-
 2 00500-JCS and 3:18-cv-03748-JCS, I will be severely suffering from another long delay of a fair
 3 resolution of my lawsuit No. 3:18-cv-03748-JCS.

4 Despite Defendants were given more than three months for conducting Discovery and for
 5 preparing to file their Motion for Summary Judgment in case No. 3:18-cv-03748-JCS, up to
 6 today, Defendants haven't propounded any Discovery request to me. As I previously explained,
 7 in my case Defendants don't need any Discovery. There is no indication that Defendants ever
 8 want to propound a Discovery request to me.

9 To me, it is obvious that, procrastinating until August 13, 2021, Defendants keep
 10 committing subjective bad faith tactics that are frivolous, harassing, and that are solely intended
 11 to cause an unnecessary delay of the litigation No. 3:18-cv-03748-JCS.

12 Moreover, even if Ms. Zack files a Motion for Summary Judgment, there is no evidence
 13 that this Motion will be based on the truthful facts of the case, and there is no evidence that this
 14 Motion will represent the true and genuine interests of the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs
 15 and Secretary of the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs.

16 Therefore, I am moving the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California for
 17 an Order that decides on the Summary Judgment by the Court pursuant to the F.R.C.P. Rule
 18 56(f.)

20 **Legal Standard.**

21 Read the F.R.C.P. Rule 56(f),

22 “(f) Judgment Independent of the Motion. After giving notice and a reasonable time to
 23 respond, the court may:

24 (1) grant summary judgment for a nonmovant;

25 (2) grant the motion **on grounds not raised by a party**; or

26 (3) consider summary judgment **on its own** after identifying for the parties
 27 material facts that may not be genuinely in dispute.”
 28

1 **Conclusion.**

2 Based on the material facts of the case that are presented in my Investigative File (**ER**
3 **03748 Vol. 1**) and based on the legal standards that the Parties already presented to the attention
4 of the Court, I am respectfully asking the District Court to decide on the Summary Judgment
5 Motions on its own pursuant to the F.R.C.P. Rule 56(f.)
6

7 I declare under the penalty of perjury and under the Federal laws that all foregoing is true
8 and correct. Executed at San Francisco, CA on July 08, 2021.
9

10 Respectfully submitted,

11
12 s/ Tatyana Drevaleva



13
14 Plaintiff Pro Se
15

16 July 08, 2021.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28